UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

ISAIAH HAWLEY,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

VS.

PUBLIX SUPER MARKETS, INC.,

Defendant.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Isaiah Hawley ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, Shavitz Law Group, P.A. and Morgan and Morgan, P.A., upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit for (i) unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs under to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and (ii) for unjust enrichment under Georgia common law, as well as declaratory relief and all other relief this Court deems just and proper against Defendant Publix Super Markets, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Publix").
- 2. As more fully described below, during the relevant time periods, Publix failed to pay Plaintiff, who was an hourly paid employee, for all hours it

suffered/permitted him to work for Publix, including all overtime hours.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 3. Plaintiff Isaiah Hawley is a citizen and resident of Acworth, Georgia.
- 4. Plaintiff worked for Publix as a non-exempt, hourly-paid Assistant Department Manager from approximately May 2022 to February 2024 and as a Department Manager from approximately February 2024 to September 6, 2024, at Publix stores in Kennesaw, Georgia; Dallas, Georgia; Cartersville, Georgia; Acworth, Georgia; and Marietta, Georgia.
- 5. From September 6, 2021, through approximately September 6, 2024 ("FLSA Relevant Time Period"), Plaintiff was an "employee" of Publix within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

Defendant

- 6. Publix Super Markets, Inc. is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in Lakeland, Florida.
- 7. Publix operates supermarkets in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, South Carolina, Tennessee, North Carolina, and Virginia.
- 8. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix was an "employer" within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

9. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq.
- 11. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under Georgia law which are based on the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims.
- 12. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 13. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Georgia pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Plaintiff worked for Publix within this District and a substantial portion of the facts giving rise to this action occurred within this District.
- 14. At all times material to this Complaint, the Publix was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of interstate goods for commerce as defined by the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).
- 15. Publix annual gross receipts for the three years preceding the filing of Plaintiff's consent form in *Roberts* and this lawsuit exceeded \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Plaintiff was employed by Publix as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager in its meat department in its grocery stores in Kennesaw, Georgia; Dallas, Georgia; Cartersville, Georgia; Acworth, Georgia; and Marietta, Georgia.
- 17. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix classified Plaintiff as a non-exempt employee under the FLSA who must be paid overtime compensation for hours work over 40 in a workweek.
- 18. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix paid Plaintiff an hourly rate of pay as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager.
- 19. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix paid Plaintiff a bonus called a Retail Bonus.
- 20. On information and belief, during the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Plaintiff was not paid all overtime compensation due to him, in part, because Publix did not properly include all Retail Bonus pay when calculating Plaintiff's regular rate of pay, thus, denying him overtime compensation.
- 21. Publix's Retail Bonus is not discretionary and is paid pursuant to a Publix policy and formula.

- 22. All of Publix's Retail Bonus should be included in the regular rate of pay when calculating overtime compensation for non-exempt employees.
- 23. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix has used physical timeclocks located inside its grocery stores to record hourly paid employees' hours worked, including Plaintiff's hours worked.
- 24. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix did not provide Plaintiff a method by which he could record hours worked outside his assigned Publix store.
- 25. While working at Publix, Plaintiff was scheduled to work a specific number of hours each workweek.
- 26. Publix intended for Plaintiff and other hourly paid employees to work a specific number of targeted hours each week so that it could stay within its targeted budgeted hours each week for his assigned store.
- 27. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff was scheduled to work a target number of regular and overtime hours each workweek.
- 28. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, if Plaintiff exceeded the overtime hours he

was permitted or targeted to work during a workweek, his name would be flagged as an employee who was exceeding the targeted overtime hours on the schedule.

- 29. It was not a positive work metric to be a Publix employee who exceeded the overtime hours scheduled or targeted for you at Publix.
- 30. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff could not complete the work required by Publix during the number of hours allotted to him on the Publix schedule.
- 31. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, if Plaintiff did not complete the work he was assigned to perform, he could be subjected to adverse employment actions.
- 32. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff worked off-the-clock prior to clocking in at the start of his shift and after clocking out at the end of his shift while inside his assigned Publix stores, on required tasks including walking the department with supervisors, cleaning, organizing, stocking, and assisting customers, without pay.
- 33. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff's unpaid meal breaks were interrupted by work and Plaintiff was not paid for this time even though his lunch

break was interrupted with work.

- 34. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff performed work off-the-clock outside his assigned Publix stores picking up goods from other Publix locations and communicating with co-workers and supervisors via telephone calls and text messages about work-related matters including, but not limited to, scheduling, staffing, operations, goods and supplies, and customers.
- 35. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Plaintiff estimates that he worked on average 5 hours per week (off-the-clock) performing the tasks above in Paragraphs 33-35 without pay.
- 36. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager, Publix suffered or permitted, as defined by the FLSA, Plaintiff to work without pay.
- 37. Publix had a duty to maintain accurate time records for Plaintiff and failed to do so in violation of the FLSA.
- 38. Publix knew or should have known, as defined by the FLSA, that Plaintiff worked unpaid hours because Publix's supervisors and managers observed, witnessed and permitted off-the-clock work by Plaintiff.

- 39. Publix's actions were willful and Publix did not act in good faith in compliance with the FLSA.
- 40. Publix offered and Plaintiff accepted to be paid an hourly rate of pay for Plaintiff's work at Publix, including his work as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager.
- 41. Publix promised to pay Plaintiff for work performed as an Assistant Department Manager and as a Department Manager at an hourly rate.
- 42. Publix accepted and retained work performed by Plaintiff for which it did not pay.
- 43. Publix did not pay Plaintiff for all the hours of work he performed during non-overtime hour weeks (i.e., workweeks of less than 40 hours a week).
- 44. Plaintiff reasonably expected to be paid for the work he performed by Publix as an employee.
- 45. Publix benefited from the work Plaintiff performed off-the-clock and without pay.
- 46. Publix was unjustly enriched by the work Plaintiff performed off-the-clock and without pay.
- 47. Publix's failure to pay Plaintiff wages, including overtime wages, was inequitable and due to a corporate policy to limit labor expenditures, preserve

corporate profits, and for the convenience of its operations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FLSA – Unpaid Overtime Wages

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-47 as though fully set forth herein.
- 49. Plaintiff consented in writing to be a party to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 50. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 51. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, the overtime wage provisions set forth in §§ 201 *et seq.* of the FLSA apply to Publix.
- 52. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix is an employer engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 53. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Plaintiff was an employee of Publix within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 207(a).
- 54. During the FLSA Relevant Time Period, Publix has failed to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages to which he was entitled under the FLSA.
 - 55. Publix's violations of the FLSA have been willful and intentional.

- 56. Because Publix's violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 57. As a result of Publix's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff has suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq.
- 58. As a result of the unlawful acts of Publix, Plaintiff has been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, as well as declaratory relief.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION State Law – Unjust Enrichment

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-48 as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. Publix has been unjustly enriched by benefiting from Plaintiff's work while consistently failing to pay him for all hours that he worked.
- 61. Plaintiff conferred a benefit on Publix by performing his work duties off-the-clock during the relevant time period.
- 62. Publix has not compensated Plaintiff for all hours worked, despite accepting and appreciating the benefit of his labor.

- 63. Publix's failure to pay Plaintiff for the value of his work is unjust under the circumstances.
 - 64. Publix is obligated to pay Plaintiff for all hours that he worked.
- 65. It would be inequitable for Publix to retain the benefit of such work without paying Plaintiff.
- 66. Thus, Publix has been unjustly enriched, and Plaintiff is entitled to all appropriate relief including his unpaid wages and compensatory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the following relief:

- A. Unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA;
- B. Liquidated damages in an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the FLSA;
 - C. Unpaid wages and compensatory damages under Georgia law;
 - D. Declaratory relief;
 - E. Attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA; and
 - F. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated: July 8, 2025

/s/ C. RYAN MORGAN

C. Ryan Morgan, Esquire Georgia Bar No. 711884

MORGAN AND MORGAN, P.A.

20 N. Orange Ave, 15th Floor Orlando, FL 32801

Telephone: (407) 418-2069

Email: rmorgan@forthepeople.com

Andrew Frisch, Esquire Georgia Bar No. 366105 **MORGAN AND MORGAN, P.A.** 8151 Peters Road, 4th Floor Plantation, FL 33324

Telephone: (954) 318-0268

Email: afrisch@forthepeople.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff